000 001

002

Heterogeneous Graph Neural Network for Recommendation

Anonymous Authors¹

Abstract

The prosperous development of e-commerce has spawned diverse recommendation systems. As a matter of fact, there exist rich and complex interactions among various types of nodes in realworld recommendation systems, which can be constructed as heterogeneous graphs. How learn representative node embedding is the basis and core of the personalized recommendation system. Meta-path is a widely used structure to capture the semantics beneath such interactions and show potential ability in improving node embedding. In this paper, we propose Heterogeneous Graph neural network for Recommendation (HGRec) which injects high-order semantic into node embedding via aggregating multi-hops meta-path based neighbors and fuses rich semantics via multiple metapaths based on attention mechanism to get comprehensive node embedding. Experimental results demonstrate the importance of rich high-order semantics and also show the potentially good interpretability of HGRec.

1. Introduction

In the era of information explosion, the recommender system has become one of the most effective ways to help users to discover what they are interested in enormous data. Generally speaking, the recommender systems usually follow two steps: learn vectorized representations (aka. embeddings) of users and items and then model interactions among them (e.g., whether a user buy an item). Collaborative filtering (CF) learns node embedding based on the historical interactions on user-item bipartite graph and performs item recommendation based on the parameters.

As a matter of fact, there exist diverse relations among various types of nodes (e.g., buy relation and social relation) in real-world recommendation scenario, also widely known as heterogeneous graph (Shi et al., 2017). Taking the dataset Movielens as an example, it contains three types of nodes include movie, user and genre. Meta-path (Sun et al., 2011), a composite relation connecting two objects, is a widely used structure to capture the semantics. The semantics revealed by different meta-paths are able to describe the characteristics of nodes from different aspects. For example, meta-path User-Movie (U-M) describes the preference of user, while meta-path User-User (U-U) describes social influence among users. Besides basic meta-path, multihop meta-path (e.g., U-U-U) which captures high-order semantics and enrich the connections among users is able to improve the node embedding and alleviate the cold-start problem.

Based on the above analysis, when designing heterogeneous graph neural network for recommendation, we need to address the following requirements.

- Heterogeneity of graph. The heterogeneity is an intrinsic property of heterogeneous graph, i.e., various types of nodes and edges. How to handle such complex structural information for recommendation is an urgent problem that needs to be solved.
- High-order semantic preservation. High-order semantic information which captures diverse long-term dependencies among nodes plays the key role in improving node embedding and alleviating the cold-start problem in recommender system. How to inject highorder semantic into node embedding is a fundamental problem in recommender system.
- Rich semantics fusion. Different meaningful and complex semantic information are involved in heterogeneous graph, which are usually reflected by diverse meta-paths. For example, meta-path U-M and U-U can describe the preference and social influence of user and then comprehensively describe the characteristics of user from different aspects. How to select the most meaningful meta-paths and fuse rich semantics to improve node embedding is an open problem.

In this paper, we propose Heterogeneous Graph neural network for **Rec**ommendation, named HGRec, which mainly considers high-order semantic preservation and rich semantics fusion. Specifically, semantic aggregation layer injects

¹Anonymous Institution, Anonymous City, Anonymous Region, Anonymous Country. Correspondence to: Anonymous Author <anon.email@domain.com>.

Preliminary work. Under review by the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML). Do not distribute.

high-order semantic into node embedding via multi-hop meta-path and semantic fusion layer fuse rich semantics revealed by multiple meta-paths. After that, the overall model 058 can be optimized via back propagation in an end-to-end 059 manner. 060

The contributions of our work are summarized as follows:

- We highlight the critical importance of rich high-order semantics in improving node embedding for recommendation system.
- We propose a heterogeneous graph neural network based recommendation system, which explicitly injects high-order semantic into node embedding via multihops meta-path and fuses rich semantics via multiple meta-paths for comprehensive node embedding.
- Empirical studies on real-world heterogeneous graphs demonstrate the state-of-the-art performance of HGRec and potentially good interpretability for the recommendation results.

2. METHODOLOGY

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

074

075

076

077 078

079

081

082

083

085

086

087

089

090

091

095

In this section, we present the proposed model Heterogeneous Graph neural network for Recommendation (HGRec). The basic idea of HGRec is to learn representative node embedding of users and items by injecting and fusing high-order semantics. The proposed HGRec first adopts embedding layer to initialize node embedding. Then, semantic aggregation layer and semantic fusion layer will inject high-order semantic into node embedding via multi-hops meta-path and fuses rich semantics via multiple meta-paths, respectively. Lastly, we leverage the fused embedding of user and item for recommendation.

2.1. Embedding Initialization

092 Following the previous works (He et al., 2017; Wang et al., 093 2019), we random initialize node embedding matrix and use 094 look-up to get the initial embedding of user u and item i, denoted as $\mathbf{e}_u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, respectively. Here d is 096 the dimension of node embedding. 097

098 2.2. Semantic Aggregation Layer 099

100 After obtain initial node embedding, we propose semantic aggregating layer to aggregate multi-hops meta-path based neighbors and update node embedding, so the high-order semantic information is well preserved. For clearly, we first introduce the first-order aggregation in semantic aggregation 104 105 layer and then generalize it to multiple successive layers (aka. high-order semantic aggregation). 106

First-order Semantic Aggregation Taking one user u and 108 one user-related meta-path Φ^U as an example, we propose 109

semantic aggregation layer \mathcal{A} to aggregate meta-path based neighbors $\mathcal{N}_u^{\Phi^U}$ and get the first-order user embedding $\mathbf{e}_u^{\Phi^U,1}$, shown as follows:

$$\mathbf{e}_{u}^{\Phi^{U},1} = \mathcal{A}(u,\Phi^{U}). \tag{1}$$

Rather than simple neighbor combination, we consider the complex interaction between node and its neighbors in aggregating process. Specifically, we encode the interaction between node u and its neighbor k into aggregating process via $\mathbf{e}_k \odot \mathbf{e}_u$, where \odot denotes the element-wise product. The overall aggregating process is shown as follows:

$$\mathbf{e}_{u}^{\Phi^{U},1} = \mathbf{W}_{1}^{\Phi^{U}} \mathbf{e}_{u} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}_{u}^{\Phi^{U}}} \left(\mathbf{W}_{1}^{\Phi^{U}} \mathbf{e}_{k} + \mathbf{W}_{2}^{\Phi^{U}} \left(\mathbf{e}_{k} \odot \mathbf{e}_{u} \right) \right),$$
(2)

where $\mathbf{W}_{1}^{\Phi^{U}}, \mathbf{W}_{2}^{\Phi^{U}}$ are weight matrixes. The first-order semantic aggregation only aggregates one-hop meta-path based neighbors into node embedding, while high-order semantic revealed by multi-hops meta-path plays a crucial role in improving node embedding.

High-order Semantic Aggregation Considering the highorder semantic revealed by multi-hops meta-path, we stack first-order semantic aggregation for multiple layers and recurrently aggregate corresponding meta-path based neighbors, so the high-order semantic is injected into node embedding, shown as follows:

$$\mathbf{e}_{u}^{\Phi^{U},L} = \mathcal{A}^{L}(\cdots \mathcal{A}^{2}(\mathcal{A}^{1}(u, \Phi^{U}))), \qquad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{u}^{\Phi^{U},L}$ denotes the *L*-order user embedding. Then, we concatenate different order user embedding and get the semantic-specific embedding of user *u*, shown as follows:

$$\mathbf{e}_{u}^{\Phi^{U}} = \mathbf{e}_{u}^{\Phi^{U},1} || \mathbf{e}_{u}^{\Phi^{U},2} ||, \cdots, || \mathbf{e}_{u}^{\Phi^{U},L},$$
(4)

where || is the concatenation operation. However, one metapath cannot comprehensively describe the characteristics of node from different aspects. Considering a set of userrelated meta-paths $\{\Phi_1^U, \Phi_2^U, \cdots, \Phi_{K_1}^U\}$, we can get K_1 groups of user embeddings $\{\mathbf{E}_u^{\Phi_1^U}, \mathbf{E}_u^{\Phi_2^U}, \cdots, \mathbf{E}_u^{\Phi_{K_1}^U}\}$.

Similar to user embedding, given a set of item-related metapaths $\{\Phi_1^I, \Phi_2^I, \cdots, \Phi_{K_2}^I\}$, we can get K_2 groups of item embeddings $\{\mathbf{E}_{i}^{\Phi_{1}^{I}}, \mathbf{E}_{i}^{\Phi_{2}^{I}}, \cdots, \mathbf{E}_{i}^{\Phi_{K_{2}}^{I}}\}.$

2.3. Semantic Fusion Layer

After obtaining multiple higher-order node embedding, we need to learn the importance of different meta-paths and fuse them properly for better recommendation. Given K_1 groups of user embeddings $\{\mathbf{E}_{u}^{\Phi_{1}^{U}}, \mathbf{E}_{u}^{\Phi_{2}^{U}}, \cdots, \mathbf{E}_{u}^{\Phi_{K_{1}}^{U}}\},$ we

propose semantic fusion layer \mathcal{F} to learn the weights of different meta-paths (e.g., $w^{\Phi_1^U}, w^{\Phi_2^U}, \cdots, w^{\Phi_{K_1}^U}$), shown as follows:

$$(w^{\Phi_{1}^{U}}, w^{\Phi_{2}^{U}}, \cdots, w^{\Phi_{K_{1}}^{U}}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{E}_{u}^{\Phi_{1}^{U}}, \mathbf{E}_{u}^{\Phi_{2}^{U}}, \cdots, \mathbf{E}_{u}^{\Phi_{K_{1}}^{U}}).$$
(5)

To learn the importance of each meta-path (e.g., $\alpha_{\Phi_k^U}$), we first project node embedding into the attention space and then use a semantic attention vector \mathbf{q}_U to measure the importance of meta-path specific embedding,

$$\alpha_{\Phi_k^U} = \frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{i \in V} \mathbf{q}_U^\top \cdot \tanh\left(\mathbf{W}_U \cdot \mathbf{e}_u^{\Phi_k^U} + \mathbf{b}_U\right), \quad (6)$$

where \mathbf{W}_U and \mathbf{b}_U are weight and bias, respectively. Then, we normalize them via softmax function and get meta-path weights $w_{\Phi_U^U}$, shown as follows:

 $w_{\Phi_k^U} = \frac{\exp\left(w_{\Phi_k^U}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K_1} \exp\left(w_{\Phi_k^U}\right)}.$ (7)

With the learned weights as coefficients, we can fuse multiple user embeddings to obtain the final embedding \mathbf{E}_u as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}_{u} = \sum_{k=1}^{K_{1}} w_{\Phi_{k}^{U}} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{u}^{\Phi_{k}^{U}}.$$
(8)

Similar to user embedding, we can fuse K_2 groups of item embeddings $\{\mathbf{E}_i^{\Phi_1^U}, \mathbf{E}_i^{\Phi_2^U}, \cdots, \mathbf{E}_i^{\Phi_{K_2}^U}\}$ and obtain the final embedding of item \mathbf{E}_i .

2.4. Model Prediction

The final part of the model is to recommend items for users based on their embedding. Here we calculate the inner product of user and item for recommendation, as follows:

$$\hat{y}_{ui} = (\mathbf{E}_u)^\top \mathbf{E}_i. \tag{9}$$

Then, we calculate BPR loss (Wang et al., 2019) and optimize the parameters, as follows:

$$L = \sum_{(u,i,j)\in\mathcal{O}} -\ln\sigma\left(\hat{y}_{ui} - \hat{y}_{uj}\right) + \lambda \left\|\Theta\right\|_2^2, \qquad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{O} = \{(u, i, j) | (u, i) \in \mathcal{R}^+, (u, j) \in \mathcal{R}^-\}$ denotes the pairwise training data, \mathcal{R}^+ indicates the observed interactions, \mathcal{R}^- is the unobserved interactions, Θ denotes all trainable model parameters, and λ controls the L2 regularization strength to prevent overfitting.

Table 1. Statistics of the datasets.					
Datasets	Relation(A-B)	#A	#B	#A-B	
	User - Movie	943	1,682	100,000	
Movielens	User - User	943	943	47,150	
	Movie - Genre	1,682	18	2,861	
	User - Item	6,170	2,753	195,791	
Amazon	Item - Category	2,753	22	5,508	
Amazon	Item - Brand	2,753	334	2,753	
	User - Item	16,239	14,284	198,397	
Yelp	User - User	16,239	16,239	158,590	
loip	Item - City	14,284	47	14,267	

Table 2. Overall Performance Comparison

Model	Movielens				
	Pre@10	Rec@10	NDCG@10	HR@10	
BMF	0.3251	0.2096	0.4081	0.8928	
NMF	0.1704	0.1163	0.2336	0.7739	
GAT	0.2068	0.1210	0.2556	0.7548	
MCRec	0.3310	0.2129	0.2624	0.9025	
NGCF	0.3369	0.2179	0.4178	0.9045	
HGRec-	0.3670	0.2412	0.4551	0.9172	
HGRec	0.3667	0.2405	0.4547	0.9193	
%Improv.	6.70%	6.80%	8.19%	1.36%	

3. EXPERIMENTS

We conduct experiments on three heterogeneous graphs: Amazon, Yelp and Movielens (details are shown in Table 1). We compare with some state-of-art baselines, include BPRMF (Rendle et al., 2009), NMF (He et al., 2017), GAT (Veličković et al., 2018), MCRec (Hu et al., 2018), NGCF (Wang et al., 2019), to verify the effectiveness of the proposed model. We also test a variant of HGRec, denotes as HGRec-, which assigns the same importance to each meta-path.

For evaluation, we split datasets into training set and test set with 8:2 ratio and employ Pre@10, Recall@10, HR@10 and NDCG@10 as evaluation metrics.

We randomly initialize parameters and optimize models with Adam. For the proposed HGRec, we set the L2 regularization to 1e-2, the dimension of the semantic attention vector **q** to 64, the dropout to 0.8, and the learning rate to 5e-4, 1e-3 and 5e-3 on Movielens Amazon and Yelp, respectively. We also use early stopping with a patience of 100 to aviod overfitting.

3.1. Overall Performance Analysis

The experiment results are shown in Table 2 and we have the following observations:.

• The proposed HGRec consistently performances better

Models	Amazon			
Widdels	Pre@10	Rec@10	NDCG@10	HR@10
BMF	0.0490	0.0881	0.1176	0.3232
NMF	0.0168	0.0264	0.0463	0.1371
GAT	0.0410	0.0810	0.1096	0.2998
MCRec	0.0309	0.0697	0.1131	0.3027
NGCF	0.0495	0.0870	0.1150	0.3224
HGRec-	0.0553	0.0988	0.1313	0.3503
HGRec	0.0588	0.1054	0.1384	0.3746
%Improv.	5.95%	6.26%	5.13%	6.48%
%Improv.	5.95%	6.26%	5.13%	6.48%
	5.95%		5.13% Yelp	6.48%
%Improv. Models	5.95%			6.48% HR@10
			Yelp	
Models	Pre@10	Rec@10	Yelp NDCG@10	HR@10
Models BMF	Pre@10 0.0039	Rec@10 0.0287	Yelp NDCG@10 0.0150	HR@10 0.0291
Models BMF NMF	Pre@10 0.0039 0.0012	Rec@10 0.0287 0.0264	Yelp NDCG@10 0.0150 0.0233	HR@10 0.0291 0.0398
Models BMF NMF GAT	Pre@10 0.0039 0.0012 0.0038	Rec@10 0.0287 0.0264 0.0240	Yelp NDCG@10 0.0150 0.0233 0.0171	HR@10 0.0291 0.0398 0.0363
Models BMF NMF GAT MCRec	Pre@10 0.0039 0.0012 0.0038 0.0031	Rec@10 0.0287 0.0264 0.0240 0.0531	Yelp NDCG@10 0.0150 0.0233 0.0171 0.0201	HR@10 0.0291 0.0398 0.0363 0.0432

than baselines with significant gap on all the datasets. In particular, HGRec improves over the strongest baseline NGCF w.r.t. Recall@10 by 6.80%, 17.49%,3.13% in Movielens Amazon and Yelp, respectively. The results demonstrate that injecting rich high-order semantics into the node embedding indeed improves the recommendation performance.

0.0447

3.13%

0.0310

12.6%

0.0671

1.03%

0.0078

6.41%

• Compare HGRec with HGRec-, we can observe that HGRec outperforms HGRec- on all datasets. This proves that the semantic fusion layer is able to identify the importance of meta-paths and then enhance the performance of HGRec.

• Graph neural network based recommendation models show their superiorities over traditional MF based models, demonstrating the importance of nonlinear structural interactions among nodes.

Effect of Layer Numbers. To investigate the whether high-order semantic improves node embedding, we vary the model depth (e.g., L = 1, 2, 3, 4) and show the results on Table 3. We can find that with the growth of model

Table 3. Effectiveness of Layer Number

<u> </u>	Tuble Di Ellevil elless of Euger Humber					
213	L	Movielens		Amazon		
214		Rec@10	NDCG@10	Rec@10	NDCG@10	
215	1	0.2390	0.4506	0.0947	0.1251	
216	2	0.2391	0.4526	0.0864	0.1151	
217	3	0.2405	0.4547	0.1054	0.1384	
218	4	0.2391	0.4513	0.0743	0.1064	
219						

depth, the performance of HGRec are sustainable growth and achieves the best performance when L is set to 3, indicating the effectiveness of high-order semantic. After that, the performance of HGRec starts to degenerate which may because of overfitting.

4. Conclusion and Future Work

In this work, we highlight the critical importance of rich high-order semantics in improving node embedding for better recommendation. Specifically, we design a semantic aggregation layer which aggregates multi-hop meta-path neighbors so as to inject high-order semantic into node embedding. To describe the characteristics of node comprehensively, we leverage a semantic fusion layer to fuse rich semantic revealed by multiple meta-paths. Experimental results demonstrates the superiority of the proposed model and show the potentially good interpretability for the recommendation results.

References

- He, X., Liao, L., Zhang, H., Nie, L., Hu, X., and Chua, T.-S. Neural collaborative filtering. In *Proceedings of the 26th international conference on world wide web*, pp. 173–182, 2017.
- Hu, B., Shi, C., Zhao, W. X., and Yu, P. S. Leveraging meta-path based context for top-n recommendation with a neural co-attention model. In *Proceedings of the 24th* ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, pp. 1531–1540, 2018.
- Rendle, S., Freudenthaler, C., Gantner, Z., and Schmidt-Thieme, L. Bpr: Bayesian personalized ranking from implicit feedback. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 452–461, 2009.
- Shi, C., Li, Y., Zhang, J., Sun, Y., and Yu, P. S. A survey of heterogeneous information network analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 29: 17–37, 2017.
- Sun, Y., Han, J., Yan, X., Yu, P. S., and Wu, T. Pathsim: Meta path-based top-k similarity search in heterogeneous information networks. In *VLDB*, pp. 992–1003, 2011.
- Veličković, P., Cucurull, G., Casanova, A., Romero, A., Liò, P., and Bengio, Y. Graph attention networks. In *ICLR*, 2018.
- Wang, X., He, X., Wang, M., Feng, F., and Chua, T.-S. Neural graph collaborative filtering. In *Proceedings of the* 42nd international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in Information Retrieval, pp. 165–174, 2019.

HGRec

%Improv.